

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,178	11/03/2003	Cristina Manu	03797.00622	3590	
28319	7590 03/03	7590 03/03/2005		EXAMINER	
BANNER & WITCOFF LTD.,			TSAI, CAROL S W		
	ATTORNEYS FOR MICROSOFT 1001 G STREET, N.W.			PAPER NUMBER	
ELEVENTH		2857			
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4597			DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8					
1 new Car	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/700,178	MANU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Carol S. Tsai	2857			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	November 2003.				
,-	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
•—					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	4) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is		objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		plication No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr					
application from the International Bure		•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		eceived.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8) 5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/700,178

Art Unit: 2857

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:

"202" at page 6, paragraph 20, line 3.

"700" at page 8, paragraph 29, line 1.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description:

"212" in Fig. 2.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate

prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Specification

The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71, as being so incomprehensible as to 3. preclude a reasonable search of the prior art by the examiner. For example, the following items are not understood:

"Probability curve 302 suggests that parameter values of 2, 4 and 6 are of high interest and the parameter value of 5 is of relatively low interest" described at page 6, paragraph 22, line 4-5 is not understandable. It is not clear to the Examiner what is meant by "parameter value of 2, 4, and 6"because Applicant did not provide a clear and specific definition in Specification disclosed for the Examiner to understand what is meant by "parameter" in applicants' claimed invention.

"User interface 700 includes a first region 702 that displays an execution matrix of parameter combinations. An input icon 704 is displayed in a second region. A software module 706 may be represented in another region. A user may test a particular parameter value combination by selecting that combination from the execution matrix and dragging that combination to input icon 704. After the software module has operated on the parameter value combination, the results of the operation may be displayed in an output region 708." described at Application/Control Number: 10/700,178

Art Unit: 2857

pages 8-9, paragraph 29, lines 2-8 is understandable. It is not clear to the Examiner how a particular parameter value combination can be tested after selecting that combination from the execution matrix and dragging that combination to input icon 704.

Applicant is required to submit an amendment which clarifies the disclosure so that the examiner can understand the invention and may make a proper comparison of the invention with the prior art.

Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

#### 4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims 1-17 recite no clearly defined practical application of the claimed method or do not draw a conclusion as to the final end result of testing a software module with parameter combinations. Additionally, the method claims do not fall into either of the "safe harbors" defined in the Guidelines for Computer-Implemented Inventions in that there is no manipulation of measured data representing physical objects or activities to achieve a practical application (pre-computer process activity) or the performance of independent physical acts (post-computer process activity). The examiner submits that the claimed process merely solves a model mathematical problem without limitation to a practical application.

Application/Control Number: 10/700,178 Page 5

Art Unit: 2857

Claims 1-17 recites signal analysis that is not tied to any physical structure for converting the probability curves into probability function, combining the probability functions into a combination function, selecting parameter value combinations, and receiving an indication from a user. The Examiner submits that the claimed method consists solely of the manipulation of an abstract idea is not concrete or tangible.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claims, 1, 14, and 16, it is not understandable what is meant by "parameter" since there is no clear and specific definition provided in Applicants' disclosure.

In claim 16, it is not understandable what is meant by "receiving an indication from a user", since there is no clear and specific indication disclosed in Applicants' Specification.

Art Unit: 2857

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol S. W. Tsai whose telephone number is (571) 272-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (571) 272-2216. The fax number for TC 2800 is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2800 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1585 or (571) 272-2800.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 2800 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 2800 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Carol S. W. Tsai Patent Examiner Art Unit 2857

02/28/05